

---

---

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number: 1-8944

**CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio	1-8944	34-1464672
_____ (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)	_____ (Commission File Number)	_____ (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1100 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio	44114-2589	
_____ (Address of principal executive offices)	_____ (Zip Code)	

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (216) 694-5700

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES  NO

As of April 17, 2003, there were 10,322,403 Common Shares (par value \$1.00 per share) outstanding.

---

---

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **PART I — ITEM 1 — FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**ITEM 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

### **PART II — OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

**Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K**

**SIGNATURE**

**CERTIFICATION**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibit 4(A)**

**Exhibit 4(B)**

**Exhibit 4(C)**

**Exhibit 10(A)**

**Exhibit 10(B)**

**Exhibit 99(A)**

**Exhibit 99(B)**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Page No.

	Item 1 – Financial Information
2	Statement of Consolidated Operations Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2002
3	Statement of Consolidated Financial Position March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002
4	Statement of Consolidated Cash Flows Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2002
5	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
10	Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
18	Item 3 – Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk
18	Item 4 – Controls and Procedures
	<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION AND SIGNATURES</u>
19	Item 1 – Legal Proceedings
19	Item 2 – Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds
20	Item 15 – Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K
20	Signature
21	Certification – John S. Brinzo, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
23	Certification – Cynthia B. Bezik, Senior Vice President – Finance and Principal Financial Officer
25	Exhibit Index

PART I — ITEM 1 — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS

	(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts) Three Months Ended March 31	
	2003	2002
<b>REVENUES</b>		
Product sales and services		
Iron ore	\$ 122.9	\$ 47.9
Freight and minority interest	28.2	7.1
Total product sales and services	151.1	55.0
Royalties and management fees	2.3	1.3
Total operating revenues	153.4	56.3
Interest income	2.7	1.1
Other income	5.4	3.3
Total Revenues	161.5	60.7
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>		
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	151.0	69.1
Administrative, selling and general expenses	4.9	4.0
Interest expense	1.2	1.9
Other expenses	1.1	1.3
Total Costs and Expenses	158.2	76.3
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>		
	3.3	(15.6)
<b>INCOME TAXES (CREDIT)</b>		
	1.1	(6.7)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>		
	2.2	(8.9)
<b>LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATION</b>		
		(2.6)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE</b>		
	2.2	(11.5)
<b>CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE</b>		
		(13.4)
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS)</b>		
	\$ 2.2	\$ (24.9)
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE</b>		
<b>Basic and Diluted</b>		
Continuing operations	\$ .21	\$ (.88)
Discontinued operation		(.24)
Cumulative effect of accounting change		(1.32)
Net income (loss)	\$ .21	\$ (2.44)
<b>AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES (IN THOUSANDS)</b>		
Basic	10,202	10,165
Diluted	10,335	10,165

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES**

**STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL POSITION**

(In Millions)

	<u>March 31 2003</u>	<u>December 31 2002</u>
<u>ASSETS</u>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 53.9	\$ 61.8
Trade accounts receivable — net	4.7	14.1
Receivables from associated companies	6.1	9.0
Product inventories	148.8	111.2
Supplies and other inventories	66.7	73.2
Other	28.1	31.2
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>308.3</b>	<b>300.5</b>
<b>PROPERTIES</b>	<b>394.0</b>	<b>390.8</b>
Allowances for depreciation and depletion	(118.5)	(111.9)
<b>TOTAL PROPERTIES</b>	<b>275.5</b>	<b>278.9</b>
<b>INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATED IRON ORE VENTURES</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>		
Long-term receivables	65.0	63.9
Intangible pension asset	31.7	31.7
Other investments	26.1	27.8
Deposits and miscellaneous	21.0	25.8
<b>TOTAL OTHER ASSETS</b>	<b>143.8</b>	<b>149.2</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 728.9</b>	<b>\$ 730.1</b>
<u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 20.0	\$ 20.0
Accounts payable	59.7	54.8
Accrued employment cost	54.9	60.1
Accrued expenses	15.8	17.6
Payables to associated companies	13.1	14.1
State and local taxes	11.1	13.2
Environmental and mine closure obligations	9.3	9.8
Other	16.9	15.2
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>200.8</b>	<b>204.8</b>
<b>LONG-TERM DEBT</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>
<b>PENSIONS, INCLUDING MINIMUM PENSION LIABILITY</b>	<b>156.6</b>	<b>151.3</b>
<b>OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFITS</b>	<b>111.1</b>	<b>109.1</b>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL AND MINE CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS</b>	<b>84.8</b>	<b>84.7</b>
<b>OTHER LIABILITIES</b>	<b>40.5</b>	<b>46.0</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>628.8</b>	<b>630.9</b>
<b>MINORITY INTEREST</b>	<b>16.9</b>	<b>19.9</b>
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Preferred Stock		
Class A - 500,000 shares authorized and unissued		
Class B - 4,000,000 shares authorized and unissued		
Common Shares — par value \$1 a share		
Authorized - 28,000,000 shares;		
Issued - 16,827,941 shares	16.8	16.8
Capital in excess of par value of shares	67.5	69.7
Retained income	290.6	288.4
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(110.7)	(110.7)
Cost of 6,504,520 Common Shares in treasury (2002 - 6,643,730 shares)	(179.1)	(182.2)
Unearned compensation	(1.9)	(2.7)
<b>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>83.2</b>	<b>79.3</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 728.9</b>	<b>\$ 730.1</b>



**CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES**

**STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS**

	<b>(In Millions, Brackets Indicate Cash Decrease) Three Months Ended March 31</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
<b>CASH FLOW FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>		
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 2.2	\$ (8.9)
Depreciation and amortization:		
Consolidated	6.8	5.1
Share of associated companies	.9	2.1
Deferred income taxes		2.8
Gain on sale of assets	(4.9)	(2.5)
Other	.3	(3.3)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total before changes in operating assets and liabilities	5.3	(4.7)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(15.1)	(25.5)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash used by operating activities	(9.8)	(30.2)
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment:		
Consolidated	(3.9)	(4.2)
Share of associated companies		(.6)
Investment in power-related joint venture		(6.0)
Proceeds from sale of assets	5.4	2.5
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash from (used by) investing activities	1.5	(8.3)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Contributions by minority shareholder	.4	.6
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash from financing activities	.4	.6
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>CASH USED BY CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(7.9)</b>	<b>(37.9)</b>
<b>CASH USED BY DISCONTINUED OPERATION</b>		<b>(3.8)</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(7.9)</b>	<b>(41.7)</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b>61.8</b>	<b>183.8</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD</b>	<b>\$ 53.9</b>	<b>\$142.1</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2003

NOTE A — BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the financial statement footnotes and other information in the Company's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In management's opinion, the quarterly unaudited consolidated financial statements present fairly the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions, including those related to revenue recognition, valuation of inventories, valuation of long-lived assets, post-employment benefits, income taxes, litigation and environmental liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience, current business conditions and expectations and on various other assumptions it believes are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

References to the "Company" mean Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and consolidated subsidiaries. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries, including: Tilden Mining Company L.C. ("Tilden") in Michigan, consolidated since January 31, 2002, when the Company increased its ownership from 40 percent to 85 percent; Empire Iron Mining Partnership ("Empire") in Michigan, consolidated effective December 31, 2002, when the Company increased its ownership from 35 percent to 79 percent; and 100 percent of Wabush Iron Co. Limited ("Wabush Iron"), consolidated since August 29, 2002 when Acme Steel Company rejected its interest in Wabush Iron. Wabush Iron owns a 26.83 percent interest in the Wabush Mines Joint Venture ("Wabush") in Canada.

Quarterly results historically are not representative of annual results due to seasonal and other factors. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year classifications.

NOTE B – ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Stock Compensation

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value method, which is considered the preferable accounting method, of recording stock-based employee compensation as contained in SFAS No. 123, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.” As prescribed in SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure,” the Company elected to use the “prospective method.” The prospective method requires expense to be recognized for all awards granted, modified or settled beginning in the year of adoption. Historically, the Company applied the intrinsic method as provided in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees” and related interpretations and accordingly, no compensation cost had been recognized for stock options in prior years.

As a result of adopting the fair value method for stock options, all future awards will be expensed over the stock options vesting period. The Company expects that adoption will not have a significant financial effect in 2003.

The following illustrates the pro forma effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to all awards unvested in each period.

	(In Millions, Except Per Share)	
	First Three Months	
	2003	2002
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ 2.2	\$(24.9)
Stock-based employee compensation:		
Add expense included in reported results	1.0	1.0
Deduct fair value based method	(1.3)	(1.4)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 1.9	\$(25.3)
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic and diluted -as reported	\$ .21	\$(2.44)
-pro forma	\$ .21	\$(2.49)

Income Taxes

Income taxes are based on income (loss) for financial reporting purposes and reflect a current tax liability (asset) for the estimated taxes payable (recoverable) in the current year tax return and changes in deferred taxes. Deferred tax assets or liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax laws and rates. A valuation allowance (Note F) is provided on deferred tax assets in recognition of uncertainty regarding full realization.

NOTE C — REVENUE RECOGNITION

Revenue is recognized on sales of products when title has transferred and on services when performed. Revenue for the first three months of the year from product sales and services includes reimbursement for freight charges (\$11.6 – 2003; \$1.1 million – 2002) paid on behalf of customers, and cost reimbursement (\$9.2 million – 2003; \$6.0 million – 2002) from minority interest partners for their contractual share of mine costs. Royalty and management fee revenue from venture participants is recognized on production.

NOTE D — ENVIRONMENTAL AND MINE CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS

At March 31, 2003, the Company, including its share of unconsolidated ventures, had environmental and mine closure liabilities of \$95.2 million, of which \$9.3 million was classified as current. Payments in 2003 were \$1.2 million (2002 — \$1.1 million). Following is a summary of the obligations:

	(In Millions)	
	March 31 2003	December 31 2002
Environmental	\$17.8	\$18.3
Mine Closure		
LTV Steel Mining Company	40.5	41.1
Operating Mines	36.9	36.1
Total mine closure	77.4	77.2
Total environmental and mine closure	\$95.2	\$95.5

Environmental

The Company's environmental liabilities of \$17.8 million, including obligations for known environmental remediation exposures at active and closed mining operations and other sites, have been recognized based on the estimated cost of investigation and remediation at each site. If the cost can only be estimated as a range of possible amounts with no specific amount being most likely, the minimum of the range is accrued in accordance with SFAS No. 5. Future expenditures are not discounted, and potential insurance recoveries have not been reflected. Additional environmental exposures could be incurred, the extent of which cannot be assessed.

The environmental liability includes the Company's obligations related to six sites which are independent of the Company's iron mining operations. These include State and Clean Water Act sites where the Company is named as a potentially responsible party, the Rio Tinto mine site in Nevada, where significant site cleanup activities have taken place, and the Kipling and Deer Lake sites in Michigan.

Also, the environmental obligation includes non-operating locations in Michigan, including eight former iron ore-related sites and eight leased land sites and miscellaneous remediation obligations at the Company's operating units.

## Mine Closure

The mine closure obligation of \$77.4 million represents the accrued obligation at March 31, 2003 for a closed operation formerly known as the LTV Steel Mining Company, and for the Company's five operating mines. The closed operation obligation results from an October 2001 transaction where subsidiaries of the Company received a net payment of \$50 million and certain other assets and assumed environmental and certain facility closure obligations of \$50 million, which obligations have declined to \$40.5 million at March 31, 2003 as a result of expenditures totaling \$9.5 million since 2001.

The accrued closure obligation for the Company's active mining operations of \$36.9 million at March 31, 2003 (\$36.1 million at December 31, 2002) reflects the adoption of SFAS No. 143 in 2002, to provide for contractual and legal obligations associated with the eventual closure of the mining operations and the effects of mine ownership increases during 2002. The Company determined the obligations, based on detailed estimates, adjusted for factors that an outside third party would consider (i.e., inflation, overhead and profit), escalated to the estimated closure dates, and then discounted using a credit adjusted risk-free interest rate of 10.25 percent. The closure date for each location was determined based on the exhaustion date of the remaining economic iron ore reserves. The accretion of the liability will be recognized over the estimated mine lives for each location. The expense recorded in the first three months of 2003 was \$.8 million. There were no expenditures in the first three months of 2003.

## NOTE E — SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company operated in one reportable segment in 2003 and 2002 offering iron products and services to the steel industry. The Ferrous Metallics segment, which included a hot briquetted iron project in Trinidad and Tobago, was discontinued in 2002 and is being reported as a discontinued operation, see Note H – Discontinued Operation.

## NOTE F — INCOME TAXES

In the second half of 2002, the Company provided a valuation allowance to fully reserve its net deferred tax assets, in recognition of uncertainty regarding their realization. Through the first quarter of 2003, the Company increased its deferred tax valuation allowance by \$1.9 million to \$122.5 million to offset comparable increases in its net deferred tax assets. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1.1 million in the first quarter 2003 to recognize estimated federal alternative minimum tax liability for 2003. The Company's reserved deferred tax assets include significant net operating loss carryforwards for regular income tax, but no operating loss carryforwards for alternative minimum tax.

Based on evidence that it is more likely than not that some or all of its net deferred tax assets will be realized, a reversal of the valuation allowance will be made. This reversal will increase income in the period such determination is made.

NOTE G – LEASE OBLIGATIONS

The Company and its ventures lease certain mining, production and other equipment under operating and capital leases. Future minimum payments under capital leases and non-cancellable operating leases, including the Company's share of ventures, at March 31, 2003 are expected to be:

	In Millions			
	Company Share		Total	
	Capital Leases	Operating Leases	Capital Leases	Operating Leases
2003 (April 1 – December 31)	\$ 3.0	\$18.7	\$ 5.0	\$ 34.6
2004	3.3	19.3	5.2	35.2
2005	2.0	15.4	3.0	25.6
2006	2.0	10.7	2.6	17.1
2007	2.8	6.9	3.1	9.9
2008 and thereafter	1.1	10.8	1.1	11.4
Total minimum lease payments	14.2	\$81.8	20.0	\$133.8
Amounts representing interest	(2.5)		(3.0)	
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$11.7		\$17.0	

The Company's share, \$96.0 million, of total minimum operating and capital lease payments is comprised of the Company's direct obligation of \$77.5 million and the Company's share of ventures' obligations of \$18.5 million.

NOTE H – DISCONTINUED OPERATION

In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company exited the ferrous metallics business and abandoned its 82 percent interest in Cliffs and Associates Limited ("CAL"), an HBI facility located in Trinidad and Tobago, and accordingly wrote off the carrying value of its investment in CAL.

In the first three months of 2002, the Company recorded expense of \$2.6 million net of minority interest relating to CAL. No expense was recorded in the first quarter 2003.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

COMPARISON OF FIRST QUARTER — 2003 AND 2002

Net income was \$2.2 million, or \$.21 per share (all per share earnings are "diluted earnings per share" unless stated otherwise) in the first quarter of 2003. In the first three months of 2002, the Company had a loss of \$8.9 million, or \$.88 per share, before the loss from a discontinued operation and a charge relating to the cumulative effect of the accounting change relating to adoption of SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." Following is a summary of results:

	(In Millions, Except Per Share)	
	First Quarter	
	2003	2002
Income (loss) from continuing operations:		
Amount	\$2.2	\$ (8.9)
Per share	.21	(.88)
Loss from discontinued operation:		
Amount		(2.6)
Per share		(.24)
Cumulative effect of accounting change:		
Amount		(13.4)
Per share		(1.32)
Net income (loss):		
Amount	\$2.2	\$(24.9)
Per share	\$.21	\$(2.44)

Net income was \$2.2 million in the first quarter of 2003, an earnings improvement of \$11.1 million from the loss from continuing operations of \$8.9 million for the first three months of 2002. The improvement was primarily due to an improved sales margin, mainly reflecting higher sales and production volume, summarized as follows:

	(In Millions) First Quarter		
	2003	2002	Increase
Sales (tons)	3.5	1.3	2.2
Revenue from product sales and services*	\$122.9	\$ 47.9	\$75.0
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses*	122.8	62.0	60.8
Sales margin (loss)	\$ .1	\$(14.1)	\$14.2

- Excludes revenues and cost of goods sold and operating expenses related to freight and minority interests.

The gross margin of \$.1 million in 2003 was \$14.2 million higher than 2002. The improvement was principally due to production curtailment costs (\$13.8 million) included in 2002 margin partly offset by higher operating costs. High energy costs had a significant unfavorable impact on mine operating costs of approximately \$5.0 million in the first quarter 2003, particularly at the Minnesota mining operations, which cannot use coal in the pelletizing process. First quarter results were negatively impacted in both periods due to period expenses being charged to cost of goods sold and operating expense when product inventory increased.

Royalties and management fees from partners increased \$1.0 million primarily due to Empire Mine operating in 2003. Other income of \$5.4 million was \$2.1 million higher than first quarter 2002; the improvement was principally due to higher sales of non-strategic lands in Michigan. Asset sales are opportunistic and are not expected to continue at a comparable rate for the balance of the year. Administrative, selling and general expenses were \$.9 million higher in the first three months of 2003 compared to the same period in 2002 primarily due to higher employee benefits. Interest expense was \$.7 million lower in 2003 reflecting a lower principal balance on the Company's long-term debt and the repayment of the credit facility in October 2002. Interest income of \$2.7 million was \$1.6 million higher than the same period last year; the increase was mainly due to interest on the long-term receivable from a subsidiary of Ispat Inland Inc.

The Company's share of first quarter production was 4.5 million tons in 2003, 1.9 million tons above last year's first quarter. Total iron ore pellet production at the Company's managed mines increased to 7.3 million tons in the first quarter of 2003 from 4.6 million tons in 2002. Production for the full year is expected to exceed 32 million tons, with the Company's share expected to be about 20 million tons.

The significant increase in the Company's share of production is mainly due to increased mine ownerships, Empire Mine operating in the first quarter of 2003 (idle in first quarter 2002), and higher Northshore Mining Company production.

Pellet sales were a first quarter record of 3.5 million tons in 2003, a 2.2 million ton increase from first quarter 2002, with approximately two-thirds of the sales increase resulting from sales to International Steel Group Inc. While there is uncertainty regarding the pellet requirements of customers, sales volume for 2003 is currently forecasted to be a record 20 million tons in 2003 compared to 15 million tons sold in 2002. The increase in tons sold in the quarter and expected full year is due to the Company's new business model, whereby the Company has repositioned itself from a manager of iron ore mines on behalf of steel company owners to primarily a merchant of iron ore to steel customers by entering into long-term pellet sales contracts, supported by increased mine ownerships, and increases in consignment inventory at steel company sites.

The Company's share of capital expenditures at the five mining ventures and supporting operations is expected to approximate \$33 million in 2003, with \$3.9 million having occurred through March 31, 2003.

## CASH FLOW, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At March 31, 2003, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$53.9 million. Following is a summary of cash activity:

	<u>(In Millions)</u>
Increased product inventories	\$ (37.6)
Capital expenditures	(3.9)
Decreased receivables	11.2
Decreased supplies and other inventories	6.5
Proceeds from sale of assets	5.4
Net cash from operating activities	5.3
Other	5.2
	<hr/>
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(7.9)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	61.8
	<hr/>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 53.9
	<hr/>

At the end of March, there were 5.0 million tons of pellets in inventory at a cost of \$148.8 million, an increase of 1.1 million tons, from December 31, 2002, reflecting increased mine ownerships and increased consignment inventory at steel company sites. Pellet inventory at March 31, 2002 was 4.2 million tons, or \$126.3 million.

At March 31, 2003, long-term debt of the Company consisted of \$55 million of senior unsecured notes with an interest rate of 7.0 percent through December 15, 2003, 9.5 percent from December 15, 2003 through December 14, 2004 and 10.5 percent from December 14, 2004 to maturity of the agreement on December 15, 2005. The notes have scheduled repayments of \$20 million in December 2003, \$20 million in December 2004, and \$15 million in December 2005. Scheduled payments may be accelerated for realization of excess cash flows and asset sales above certain levels; the notes may be paid off at any time without penalty. The Company was in compliance with the loan covenants, the most restrictive of which is a minimum EBITDA measurement that the Company exceeded by more than \$9.0 million at March 31, 2003. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt approximated the carrying value of \$55 million at March 31, 2003 based on a discounted cash flow analysis utilizing estimated current borrowing rates. Additionally, in March 2003, the Company entered into a 364-day unsecured revolving credit facility in the amount of \$20.0 million. Covenants on the facility are consistent with the covenants on the term notes. No borrowings are outstanding under this agreement.

Anticipated cash flows and current financial resources are expected to meet the Company's anticipated needs.

Following is a summary of common shares outstanding:

	2003	2002	2001
March 31	10,323,421	10,180,849	10,143,272
June 30		10,184,846	10,148,939
September 30		10,185,083	10,143,509
December 31		10,184,211	10,141,953

#### PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company and its mining ventures sponsor defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all employees. These plans are largely noncontributory, and benefits are generally based on employees' years of service and average earnings for a defined period prior to retirement. Additionally, the Company and its ventures provide retirement health care ("OPEB") to most full-time employees who meet certain length of service and age requirements. Due to the significantly rising costs associated with these plans, effective July 1, 2003, the Company will implement changes to U.S. salaried employee plans to reduce costs by more than an estimated \$8.0 million on an annualized basis. Benefits under the current defined benefit formula will be frozen for affected U.S. salaried employees and a new cash balance formula will be instituted. Increases in affected U.S. salaried retiree healthcare co-pays will become effective for retirements after June 30, 2003. A cap on the Company's share of annual medical premiums will also be implemented for existing and future U.S. salaried retirees. The foregoing does not reflect any modifications to bargaining unit plans, which contracts expire in 2004.

Following is a summary of pension and OPEB funding and expense for years 2002, expected 2003 and estimated 2004 for the Company and its share of plans of its ventures, which reflect the impact of the salaried benefit changes:

	(In Millions)			
	Pension		OPEB	
	Funding	Expense	Funding	Expense
2002	\$ 1.1	\$ 7.2	\$16.8	\$21.5
2003 (Expected)	2.8	27.1	21.6	30.7
2004 (Estimated)	10.5	31.5	25.4	32.0

#### MARKET RISKS

The Company is subject to a variety of risks including, among others, changes in commodity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company has established policies and procedures to manage such risks.

The Company's mining ventures enter into forward contracts for the purchase of natural gas as a hedge against price volatility. Such contracts, which are in quantities expected to be delivered and used in the production process, are a means to limit exposure to price fluctuations. At March 31, 2003 the notional amounts of the outstanding forward contracts were \$3.2 million (Company share \$2.6 million), with an

unrecognized fair value gain of \$.4 million (Company share \$.3 million) based on March 31, 2003 forward rates. The contracts mature in April 2003.

As a result of significantly escalating oil prices, and as a hedge against continuing price increases, the Company, in February 2003, entered into a derivative financial instrument with call and put options ("collar") as a hedge of forecasted purchases of diesel fuel. The Company holds and issues such derivatives only for the purpose of hedging such risks and not for speculation. When entered into, the collar was for a three month period ending April 2003. The collar, with respect to one million gallons of No. 2 low sulfur diesel fuel, locks in the value within a price range of \$.96 to \$1.13 per gallon. As a result of a decrease in prices, a fair value loss of \$.3 million was recognized in "cost of goods sold and operating expenses."

A portion of the Company's operating costs related to Wabush Mines are subject to change in the value of the Canadian dollar; the Company does not hedge its exposure to changes in the Canadian dollar.

## STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS

The Company is pursuing investment opportunities to broaden its scope as a supplier of iron ore pellets to the integrated steel industry through acquisition of additional mining interests. In the normal course of business, the Company examines opportunities to strengthen its position by evaluating various investment opportunities consistent with its strategy. In the event of any future acquisitions or joint venture opportunities, the Company may consider using available liquidity or other sources of funding to make investments.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). Preparation of financial statements requires management to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses, and the related disclosures of contingencies. Management bases its estimates on various assumptions and historical experience which are believed to be reasonable; however, due to the inherent nature of estimates, actual results may differ significantly due to changed conditions or assumptions. Management believes that the following critical accounting policies and practices incorporate estimates and judgments that have the most significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

### Iron Ore Reserves

The Company regularly evaluates its economic iron ore reserves and updates them as required in accordance with SEC Industry Guide 7. The estimated mineral reserves could be significantly affected by future industry conditions, iron ore pricing, production costs, geological conditions and ongoing mine planning. Maintenance of effective production capacity or the mineral reserve could require increases in capital

and development expenditures. Alternatively, changes in economic conditions, or the expected quality of ore reserves could decrease capacity or mineral reserves. Technological progress could alleviate such factors or increase capacity or mineral reserves. Significant reductions were made to the ore reserves at Empire and Wabush Mines in the fourth quarter of 2002 due to increasing mining and processing costs. The Company's uses its ore reserve estimates to determine the mine closure dates utilized in recording the fair value liability for asset retirement obligations. See Note D – "Environmental and Mine Closure Obligations" (Mine Closure) in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Since the liability represents the present value of the expected future obligation, a significant change in ore reserves would have a substantial effect on the recorded obligation. The Company also utilizes economic ore reserves for evaluating potential impairments of mine assets and in determining maximum useful lives utilized to calculate depreciation and amortization of long-lived mine assets. Decreases in ore reserves could significantly affect these items.

#### Asset Retirement Obligations

The accrued mine closure obligations for the Company's active mining operations reflect the adoption of SFAS No. 143 effective January 1, 2002 to provide for contractual and legal obligations associated with the eventual closure of the mining operations. The Company's obligations are determined based on detailed estimates adjusted for factors that an outside party would consider (i.e., inflation; overhead and profit), which were escalated (at an assumed 3 percent) to the estimated closure dates, and then discounted using a credit adjusted risk free interest rate of 10.25 percent. The closure date for each location was determined based on the exhaustion date of the remaining iron ore reserves. The estimated obligations are particularly sensitive to the impact of changes in mine lives given the difference between the inflation and discount rates. Changes in the base estimates of legal and contractual closure costs due to changed legal or contractual requirements, available technology, inflation, overhead or profit rates would also have a significant impact on the recorded obligations. See Note D – "Environmental and Mine Closure Obligations" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Asset Impairment

The Company monitors conditions that indicate that the carrying value of an asset or asset group may be impaired. The Company determines impairment based on the asset's ability to generate cash flow greater than its carrying value, utilizing an undiscounted probability-weighted analysis. If the analysis indicates the asset is impaired, the carrying value is adjusted to fair value. The impairment analysis and fair value determination can result in significantly different outcomes based on critical assumptions and estimates including the quantity and quality of remaining economic ore reserves, and future iron ore prices and production costs.

#### Environmental Remediation Costs

The Company has a formal code of environmental protection and restoration. The Company's obligations for known environmental problems at active and closed mining operations and other sites have been recognized based on estimates of the cost

of investigation and remediation at end site. If the estimate can only be estimated as a range of possible amounts, with no specific amount being most likely, the minimum of the range is accrued. Management reviews its environmental remediation sites quarterly to determine if additional cost adjustments or disclosures are required. The characteristics of environmental remediation obligations, where information concerning the nature and extent of clean-up activities is not immediately available, or changes in regulatory requirements, result in a significant risk of increase to the obligations as they mature. Expected future expenditures are not discounted to present value. Potential insurance recoveries are not recognized until realized.

#### Employee Retirement Benefit Obligations

Assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations and the value of plan assets for defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans (primarily retiree healthcare benefits) offered by the Company and its ventures, are evaluated periodically by management in conjunction with outside actuaries. Critical assumptions such as the discount rate, used to measure the benefit obligations, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, and the medical care cost trend are reviewed annually. Changes in actuarial assumptions, including discount rates, employee retirement rates, mortality, compensation levels, plan asset investment performance, and healthcare costs are determined in conjunction with outside actuaries. Changes in actuarial assumptions and/or investment performance of plan assets can have a significant impact on the Company's financial condition due to the magnitude of the Company's retirement obligations. See "Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits" in this section.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes are based on income (loss) for financial reporting purposes and reflect a current tax liability (asset) for the estimated taxes payable (recoverable) in the current year tax return and changes in deferred taxes. Deferred tax assets or liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax laws and rates. The Company recorded a valuation allowance in 2002 for its net deferred tax assets and net loss carryforwards in recognition of the uncertainty of their realization. In making the determination to record the valuation allowance, management considered the likelihood future taxable income and feasible and prudent tax planning strategies to realize deferred tax assets. In the future, if the Company determines that it expects to realize more or less of the deferred tax assets, an adjustment to the valuation allowance will affect income in the period such determination is made. See Note F – "Income Taxes" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

### Cautionary Statements

Certain expectations and projections regarding future performance of the Company referenced in this report are forward-looking statements. These expectations and projections are based on currently available financial, economic and competitive data, along with the Company's operating plans, and are subject to certain future events and uncertainties. We caution readers that in addition to factors described elsewhere in this report, the following factors, among others, could cause the Company's actual results in 2003 and thereafter to differ significantly from those expressed.

Steel Company Customers: More than 95 percent of the Company's revenue is derived from the North American integrated steel industry, consisting of fourteen current or potential customers. Of the fourteen companies (not all of whom are current customers or partners of the Company), three companies are in reorganization, and certain others have experienced financial difficulties. The Company's sales are concentrated with a relatively few number of customers. Loss of major sales contracts or the failure of customers to perform under existing arrangements due to financial difficulties could adversely affect the Company. Rejection of major contracts and/or partner agreements by customers and/or partners under provisions related to bankruptcy/reorganization represents a major uncertainty.

Demand for Iron Ore Pellets: Demand for iron ore is a function of the operating rates for the blast furnaces of North American steel companies. The restructuring of the steel industry is likely to result in a reduction of integrated steelmaking capacity over time, and thereby reduce iron ore consumption. Demand for iron ore can be displaced by lower iron production in North America due to imports of finished and semi-finished steel, replacement by electric furnace production, or insufficient resources to reline or adequately maintain blast furnaces. Most of the Company's sales contracts are requirements-based or provide for flexibility of volume above a minimum level.

Mine Operating Risks: The Company's iron ore operations are volume sensitive with a portion of its costs fixed irrespective of current operating levels. Iron ore operations can be affected by unanticipated geological conditions, ore processing changes, availability and cost of key components of production (e.g., labor, electric power and fuel), and weather conditions (e.g., extreme winter weather and availability of process water due to drought).

Mine Closure Risks: Although ore reserves are long-lived, premature closure or reduced operating levels of an iron ore mine could accelerate significant employment legacy costs and environmental closure obligations, and result in asset impairment charges.

Litigation; Taxes; Environmental Exposures: The Company's operations are subject to various governmental, tax, environmental and other laws and regulations, and potentially to claims for various legal, environmental and tax matters. While the Company carries liability insurance which it believes to be appropriate to its businesses,

and has provided accounting reserves, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, for such matters which it believes to be adequate, an unanticipated liability or increase in a currently identified liability arising out of litigation, tax, or environmental proceeding could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company has no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

### ITEM 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information regarding Market Risk of the Company is presented under the caption "Market Risk" which is included in the Company's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-14(c). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Within 90 days prior to the date of this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date the Company completed its evaluation.

## PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

#### Pellestar

In February 2003, the Company received a General Notice of Potential Liability (“Notice”) from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) with respect to the Pellestar site, located in Negaunee Township, Marquette County, Michigan (“Site”). EPA advised that the Company is considered to be a potentially responsible party (“PRP”) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”). The Company, through a subsidiary, owned the Site from 1955 to 1986, at which time the Site was sold. During the period that the Company owned the Site, the Company operated a pilot plant facility for research and development activities on different pelletizing processes. The Company is one of a number of PRPs. The EPA has estimated the cleanup costs at the Site as being approximately \$1 million. The Company is currently evaluating the facts and law as it relates to the Site to determine the Company’s liability and responsibility, if any, for the cleanup of the Site.

### Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) On January 31, 2003 and February 3, 2003, pursuant to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Voluntary Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (“VNQDC”), the Company sold 135 shares and 2,468 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (“Common Shares”) for an aggregate consideration of \$52,751.63 to the Trustee of the Trust maintained under the VNQDC Plan. These sales were made in reliance on Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933 pursuant to an election made by one officer and one managerial employee under the VNQDC Plan.
- (b) On February 3, 2003, the Company determined to pay the annual bonuses earned by participants under the Company’s Management Performance Incentive Plan (“Plan”) for services rendered during 2002 in the form of 50% cash and 50% Common Shares (“Stock Bonus Awards”). The Stock Bonus Awards were not required to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 because they were issued for prior services without additional consideration in a transaction not involving a sale for value within the meaning of Section 2(3) of that Act. The Company’s closing stock price of \$20.26 per share on February 3, 2003, (the date of payment of the Stock Bonus Awards), was used to determine the number of Common Shares issued and a total of 65 participants under the Plan received 80,466 Common Shares having an aggregate value of \$1,630,241.16.

Item 15. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a) List of Exhibits — Refer to Exhibit Index on page 25.
- (b) During the first quarter of 2003, the Company filed Current Reports on Form 8-K, dated January 2, January 13, January 29, and March 19, 2003, covering information reported under Item 9. Regulation FD Disclosure. The Company also filed Current Reports on Form 8-K dated April 8, 2003 covering information reported under Item 9. Regulation FD Disclosure; and dated April 23, 2003 covering information reported under Item 9. Regulation FD Disclosure but furnished pursuant to Item 12. Results of Operations and Financial Condition in accordance with SEC Release No. 33-8216. There were no financial statements filed as part of the Current Reports on Form 8-K.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC

Date April 24, 2003

By /s/ C. B. Bezik

---

C. B. Bezik  
Senior Vice President-Finance and  
Principal Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION

I, John S. Brinzo, certify that:

1. I have reviewed the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: April 24, 2003

By /s/ John S. Brinzo

---

John S. Brinzo  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

I, Cynthia B. Bezik, certify that:

1. I have reviewed the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: April 24, 2003

By /s/ Cynthia B. Bezik

---

Cynthia B. Bezik  
Senior Vice President-Finance and  
Principal Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit</u>	
4(a)	Second Amendment, effective as of March 14, 2003, to Note Agreements dated as of December 15, 1995, among Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and the Purchasers named on Schedule I attached thereto	Filed Herewith
4(b)	Credit Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2003, between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Fifth Third Bank	Filed Herewith
4(c)	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2003, among certain subsidiaries of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Fifth Third Bank	Filed Herewith
10(a)	*Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors, dated as of January 14, 2003	Filed Herewith
10(b)	*Second Amendment to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors Supplemental Compensation Plan, dated as of January 14, 2003	Filed Herewith
99(a)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by John S. Brinzo as of April 24, 2003	Filed Herewith
99(b)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Cynthia B. Bezik as of April 24, 2003	Filed Herewith

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 14(c) of this Report